APPENDIX IV.

[*Vide* answer to question No. 285 asked by Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 30th October 1935, page 144 supra.]

G.O. No. 3395, L. & M., dated 20th August 1935.

READ—the following paper:—

G.O. No. 4619, L. & M., dated 20th October 1934.

Order—No. 3395, *L. & M., dated* 20*th August* 1935.

In G.O. No. 4619, L. & M., dated 20th October 1934, the Government fixed certain scales of pay for the staff in secondary schools under the control of district boards, including the existing incumbents. With reference to representations since made to Government that these scales are not adequate and require revision, they examined the question carefully further in consultation with the Director of Public Instruction and consider that only the following changes are called for in the scales already fixed by them, viz.:—

(1) the scale of salaries for the headmasters of middle schools should be the same as that fixed for L.T. assistants, viz., Rs. 65—5/2—100;

(2) pandits who are holders of titles in oriental learning should be given a salary of Rs. 45—2/2—75, while those who hold merely certificates of proficiency in oriental learning should be given a salary of Rs. 30—2/2—50;

(3) physical training instructors who are holders of a completed Secondary School-Leaving Certificate and have undergone one year's professional training at the Y.M.C.A. College of Physical Education, Saidapet, should be given a salary of Rs. 30—2/2—50 and those who have not had this training Rs. 25—2/2—45; and

(4) commercial instructors should be given a salary of Rs. 30—2/2—50.

2. The above alterations in the scales of pay will be fixed with reference to section 67 (3) of the Madras Local Boards Act, as amended by Madras Act II of 1934, and will be brought into force from 1st November 1934.

[30th October 1935

3. The Government do not see adequate reason to accept the suggestion that the existing incumbents should be exempted from the operation of the revised scales of pay.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Local Self-Government)

T. B. Russell,
Secretary to Government.

To all Presidents of District Boards.
,, all Executive Authorities of Municipal Councils (through Chairmen of Municipal Councils concerned).
,, all Collectors.
,, the Director of Public Instruction.
,, the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
,, the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards.
,, the Commissioner (through the Mayor, Corporation of Madras).
,, the Law (Education) Department.
,, the Revenue Department.

Appendix V.

[Vide answer to question No. 286 asked by Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 30th October 1935, page 145 supra.]

G.O. Ms. No. 2592, L. & M., dated 4th July 1935.

Read—the following:—

From the President, District Board, Salem, dated 27th February 1935, R.O.C. No. 9211/33.

Endorsement of the Director of Public Instruction, dated 27th February 1935, R.C. No. 9211/33.

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 19th June 1935, R.C. No. 1092-C.

Order—Ms. No. 2592, L. & M., dated 4th July 1935.

According to the budget of the Salem District Board for 1935–36 the ordinary receipts (Rs. 8,01,750) fall short of the ordinary expenditure (Rs. 8,73,930) by Rs. 72,180. The credit taken in the budget under "Tolls compensation" is Rs. 1,08,000 whereas the amount admissible is Rs. 1,40,500. No provision has been made in the budget for the repayment of the loan taken from the Railway-cess fund. A provision of Rs. 30,000 should be made for this purpose. If the